Case 3:11-cv-01348-SI Document 21 Filed 06/30/11 Page 1 of 4

that this matter should be transferred to the United States District Court for the Central District of California, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1404(a). Accordingly, the parties hereby stipulate as follows:

WHEREAS, Plaintiffs filed their First Amended Complaint on May 18, 2011 and Defendant first appeared, by filing its Answer to Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, on June 6, 2011. In its Answer, Defendant first raised its contention that the Central District of California is a more convenient forum for the litigation of the above-captioned action.

WHEREAS, Defendant thereafter prepared to file a Motion to Transfer Venue to the Central District of California pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1404(a) on the grounds that (i) Plaintiffs' claims could have been brought in that district; (ii) the convenience of the witnesses and parties strongly favors a transfer; (iii) events relevant to Plaintiffs' claims and Defendant's defenses occurred in the Central District (*i.e.* Plaintiffs were employed by Defendant in the Central District of California) and, thus, sources of proof are in the Central District; (iv) Plaintiffs themselves are located in the Central District of California; and (v) the interests of justice are furthered by such a transfer.

WHEREAS, prior to filing its Motion, Defendant met and conferred with Plaintiffs' counsel regarding Defendant's Motion to Transfer Venue, and the parties ultimately agreed that the venue should be transferred to the Central District of California for the convenience of the parties and witnesses.

NOW, THEREFORE, the parties hereby stipulate and agree, and respectfully request that the Court order, that the above-captioned action be transferred to the Central District of California on the following grounds: (i) this case could have been brought in the Central District of California; (ii) transferring the case to the Central District of California would be more convenient to witnesses than would proceeding in this Court; (iii) transferring this case to the Central District of California would be more convenient to the parties than would proceeding in this Court; and (iv) the interests of justice are furthered by such a transfer.

The parties agree and stipulate that, under 28 U.S.C. § 1404(a), the Central District of California is the appropriate venue for this action and agree that this action should be transferred

to that venue. This case arises out of the Central District of California, as both Plaintiffs worked 1 2 for Defendant in Woodland Hills, California and Plaintiffs reside in Los Angeles County. 3 The parties further stipulate and agree that, upon transfer, they will meet and confer as to 4 a briefing schedule for Plaintiffs' motion for class certification that is outside of the timing 5 required by Central District Local Rule 23-3. 6 IT IS SO STIPULATED. 7 DATED: June 29, 2011 SEYFARTH SHAW LLP 8 9 /s/ Kimberly Brener 10 Eric M. Steinert Andrew M. McNaught 11 Kimberly Brener Attorneys for Defendant 12 **ZURICH AMERICAN INSURANCE COMPANY** 13 14 DATED: June 29, 2011 BLUMENTHAL, NORDREHAUG & 15 **BHOWMIK** 16 17 By /s/ Aparajit Bhowmik 18 Attorneys for Plaintiffs CORA BUCKLIN and VIRGINIA L. 19 BURTON 20 21 [PROPOSED] ORDER 22 PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED. The Court hereby orders 23 that this action be transferred to the Central District of California. No further proceedings shall 24 be had in this Court. 25 26 DATED: June 30, 201127 THE HONORABLE SUSAN ILLSTON UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE 28 3

ATTESTATION PURSUANT TO GENERAL ORDER 45 I, Kimberly Brener, attest that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from the other signatories. Dated: June 29, 2011 /s/ Kimberly Brener Kimberly Brener 13512772v.1/25616-000083 Stipulation and [Proposed] Order to Transfer Venue to the Central District of California / Case No. CV 11-1348 SI